## The Darwin Instability

Mike Lau Last updated: June 18, 2020

<u>Literature</u>

5.1, Evolutionary Processes in Binary and Multiple Stars, P. Eggleton



Figure 1: Diagram showing a point mass  $M_2$  with a companion of mass  $M_1$  with an exaggerated tidal bulge. The axis of the bulge is shown by a dotted line, which, in the case of co-rotation, would pass through  $M_2$ .

Consider a circular but unsynchronised orbit of a binary with component masses  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  and separation a. We denote the moment of inertia of  $M_1$  by  $I_1$ , assumed to be constant, the spin angular momentum of  $M_1$  by  $J_1$ , and the spin angular velocity of  $M_1$  by  $\Omega_1$ . We consider the simplifying case of the spin of  $M_2$  being small, such that its moment of inertia may be neglected  $(I_1\Omega_1 \gg I_2\Omega_2)$ .

Ignoring winds, the total angular momentum of the binary orbit is therefore

$$J = I_1 \Omega_1 + \mu a^2 \Omega_{\rm orb},\tag{1}$$

where  $1/\mu = 1/M_1 + 1/M_2$  and  $\mu$  is the reduced mass.

We consider the scenario shown in Figure 1, where  $\Omega_1 < \Omega_{\text{orb}}$ , such that the bulge of  $M_1$  lags behind  $M_2$ . Tidal torques act to transfer angular momentum from the orbit into the spin of  $M_1$  by tightening the orbit. This increases  $\Omega_1$  to match  $\Omega_{\text{orb}}$ . But with a tighter orbit,  $\Omega_{\text{orb}}$  also increases. A natural question that arises is whether the binary ever reaches synchronisation:

- If  $\dot{\Omega}_1 > \dot{\Omega}_{orb}$ , the spin-up of  $M_1$  is faster than the spin-up of the shrinking orbit. The system approaches synchronisation,  $\Omega_{orb} \Omega_1 \to 0^+$ .
- If  $\dot{\Omega}_1 < \dot{\Omega}_{orb}$ , the shrinking orbit causes the orbit to spin up too quickly for  $M_1$  to catch up, and  $\Omega_{orb} \Omega_1$  increases catastrophically. This implies the separation shrinks

catastrophically (on a dynamical time), ending with a merger. This is called the *Darwin* instability.

Claim 1. With this setup, we have  $J_1 \frac{\dot{\Omega}_1}{\Omega_1} = \frac{1}{3} J_{orb} \frac{\dot{\Omega}_{orb}}{\Omega_{orb}}$ .

*Proof.* By conservation of total angular momentum J,

$$0 = \dot{J} = \underbrace{I_1 \dot{\Omega}_1}_{=J_1 \frac{\dot{\Omega}_1}{\Omega_1}} + 2\mu a \dot{a} \Omega_{\text{orb}} + \underbrace{\mu a^2 \dot{\Omega}_{\text{orb}}}_{J_{\text{orb}} \frac{\dot{\Omega}_{\text{orb}}}{\Omega_{\text{orb}}}}.$$
(2)

In the second term, rewrite  $\dot{a}$  in terms of  $\dot{\Omega}_{\rm orb}$  by noting that  $\dot{\Omega}_{\rm orb}/\Omega_{\rm orb} = -(3/2)(\dot{a}/a)$ . We then obtain the required expression by collecting like terms.

A Corollary of the Claim is that requiring  $\dot{\Omega}_1 > \dot{\Omega}_{orb}$  for stability gives the stability criterion on the angular momenta:

The system is Darwin stable 
$$\iff J_{\rm orb} > 3J_1,$$
 (3)

i.e. A synchronised orbit is achieved as long as  $M_1$  has sufficiently small spin (less than a third of the orbit). The stability criterion may also be recast as a condition on the separation:

The system is Darwin stable 
$$\iff a > a_{\text{Darwin}} = \sqrt{\frac{3I_1}{\mu}}.$$
 (4)

## Application to Binary Orbits

A binary that starts off satisfying Equation 4 may eventually shrink below  $a_{\text{Darwin}}$  due the evolution of  $M_1$ . Because  $I_1 \sim M_1 R_1^2$ , the radial expansion of  $M_1$  during its evolution may cause  $a_{\text{Darwin}}$  to grow and supersede a, leading to the Darwin instability. However, if  $M_1$ fills its Roche lobe before this occurs, then the Darwin instability never becomes relevant. Therefore, a binary never becomes Darwin unstable if its separation exceeds the maximallyrealisable  $a_{\text{Darwin}}$ , which is  $a_{\text{Darwin}}$  evaluated at the moment  $M_1$  fills its Roche lobe,  $x_L(q)a$ :  $a > a_{\text{Darwin}}(R_1 = x_L(q)a)$ , where  $q = M_1/M_2$  is the mass ratio. Defining  $I_1 = kM_1R_1^2$ , this becomes

$$\frac{1}{3}\frac{a^2}{1+q} > kx_L(q)^2 a^2.$$
(5)

The separation  $a^2$  cancels out, so we find a criterion that is independent of a and only depends on q and k. We may use the Eggleton (1983a) analytical approximation of the Roche radius,

$$x_L(q) = \frac{0.49q^{2/3}}{0.6q^{2/3} + \ln(1+q^{1/3})}.$$
(6)

Solving Equation 5 numerically, we find the following critical mass ratios:

- For a n = 3 polytrope, which is a reasonable description of a main sequence star, it may be shown that k = 0.076 (approximately a fifth that for a uniform sphere), upon which one finds the critical "Darwin" mass ratio  $q_D \approx 12$ , above which the binary encounters the Darwin instability and plunges in on a dynamical time. Tides do not have enough time to synchronise and circularise the orbit, meaning the binary may proceed to Roche-Lobe overflow with an unsynchronised and eccentric orbit. The likely outcome is merger.
- For a n = 3/2 polytrope, a reasonable description of a red giant or the convective core of a giant, we find  $q_D \approx 5$ .

We have neglected the spin of  $M_2$  and assumed circularity. Hut [1980] performs an analysis for arbitrary eccentricity and includes  $M_2$ 's spin, leading to the very similar stability criterion

The system is Darwin stable 
$$\iff J_{\rm orb} > 3J_1 \iff a > a_{\rm Darwin} = \sqrt{\frac{3(I_1 + I_2)}{\mu}}$$
(7)

## References

P. Hut. Stability of tidal equilibrium. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 92(1-2):167–170, Dec 1980.